From: Danny de Hek danny@dehek.com
Subject: Further Right of Reply — Daxly Technology, AI Providers, Development Team and Credit Costs
Date: 7 Oct 2026 at 5:53:33 PM
To: info@daxly.ai

Hi Stephen,

Following my earlier right-of-reply questions, I have now spent considerably more time testing Daxly, examining the logged-in platform, reviewing code delivered by the live website and looking through publicly available GitHub material associated with your technology projects.

Given what I have found, I think it is only fair that I give you another opportunity to respond before I finalise my investigation.

My central question remains very simple:

What has Daxly actually developed itself, and what is being supplied by third-party AI models, coding tools, infrastructure and APIs?

I would appreciate clear, technical answers to the following.

1. **What exactly is proprietary about Daxly?**
   Please identify the technology developed by Daxly itself — for example the orchestration system, model router, Skills system, sandbox, deployment infrastructure, memory system or anything else you consider genuinely proprietary.

2. **What is Dax 2.1 / Dax Core 3.0 actually referring to?**
   Is Dax a foundation AI model trained by Daxly, or is Dax the orchestration/interface layer that routes requests to third-party models?

3. **Which external AI providers are currently being used?**
   Daxly's own legal documentation refers to outside AI infrastructure providers and model calls. Please identify the providers and models currently used for coding, research, design, images, video, voice and other functions.

4. **Why does Daxly's live frontend code translate third-party AI names into Dax-branded engine names?**

I found browser-delivered code that recognises terms including **Claude, OpenAI/GPT, Gemini/Google and Grok/xAI**, and then returns labels including **Dax Build Engine, Dax Design Engine, Dax Research Engine and Dax Intel Engine**.

Is that simply a display layer? If so, what actual model or provider sits behind each of those Dax engine names?

5. **Does "Dax Build Engine" use Claude?**
   The frontend code specifically associates `claude` with **Dax Build Engine**. Please explain whether Claude is currently used for coding/build tasks and, if so, which Claude model is being used.

6. **Who actually built Daxly?**
   Your website says Daxly was built by a **"small team"**, but I have been unable to find a named CTO, lead engineer, AI research team, blockchain engineers or security specialists.

Please identify the principal people responsible for building Daxly and their roles.

7. **How extensively are you using Claude Code to develop your technology?**
   I have now located the public GRAPE/VINE development branch:

https://github.com/Grape-Chain/Grape-Dag/tree/claude/dag-code-dev-dcaxo4

The associated development history shows substantial work attributed to Claude, including consensus, validator protocols, persistence, fee systems, wallets, load testing and other core functionality.

Do you consider Claude Code part of your development team? How much of Daxly itself was written, repaired or designed using Claude Code or similar AI coding systems?

8. **What did your human developers create that Claude Code or other existing AI tools did not?**
   This is particularly important given the scale of the claims made on Daxly about building Layer-1 blockchains, game engines, institutional trading platforms and production-grade applications.

9. **Why did a single image generation consume more than 5,000 credits in my testing?**
   I tested Daxly's image-generation capability and saw more than **5,000 credits consumed producing one image**. I was able to generate a materially better result through ChatGPT in roughly 30 seconds.

Please explain:

- which image model/provider Daxly used for my generation;
- what the underlying provider charged Daxly;
- how the 5,000+ credit charge was calculated;
- whether Daxly applies a markup to third-party AI usage;
- and what additional technology Daxly contributed to that image generation beyond passing the prompt to an external model.

10. **What does one Daxly credit actually represent?**
    Your backend currently sells credits at $3 per 1,000 and states that credits can be consumed by builds, sandboxes, AI calls and deployments.

Is credit consumption directly linked to third-party API costs, or are credits an internal pricing unit determined by Daxly?

11. **Can you substantiate the largest claims on the website?**
    In particular, can you provide demonstrable examples of Daxly independently producing:
    - a functioning Layer-1 blockchain;
    - a production-ready smart contract with tests and audit output;
    - a genuine 3D game engine or substantial 3D game;

- and a production-grade application built and deployed autonomously?

I am not asking for marketing demonstrations. I am asking for evidence that can be independently examined.

12. **What is the technical achievement you believe differentiates Daxly from existing agentic AI builders?**
    If the underlying intelligence comes from companies such as Anthropic, OpenAI, Google or xAI, what has Daxly invented that competitors using the same models could not reproduce?

I want to be clear that **using third-party AI is not itself a criticism**. Modern software companies routinely build valuable products using outside models and infrastructure.

The issue is transparency.

Daxly is being marketed using proprietary-sounding names and extraordinarily ambitious claims. I therefore think users, GRP/GGT holders and the wider public are entitled to understand what technology Daxly actually created, which technology belongs to other companies, and who performed the engineering work.

I will publish your response in context and will correct anything I have misunderstood if you provide evidence demonstrating that.

Given the technical nature of these questions, I am happy to give you **48 hours from receipt of this email** to provide a substantive response.

See https://www.dehek.com/general/scam-fraud-investigations/daxly-exposed-what-did-stephen-mccullah-actually-build-and-what-is-just-third-party-ai/

Best Regards
Danny de Hek

———————

WEBSITE: dehek.com
PODCAST: podcast.dehek.com
BOOKINGS: calendly.com/dehek
YOUTUBE: youtube.com/@dehek
LINKEDIN: linkedin.com/in/dehek/

Phone: +64 21 199 1652
Email: danny@dehek.com

Exposing scams and protecting everyday investors takes a lot of time and resources, and I fund most of this work myself. If you'd like to support what I do, even a small donation makes a big difference. Ko-fi: ko-fi.com/dehek Buy Me a Coffee: buymeacoffee.com/dehek Your support is truly appreciated.

NYT Featured Investigative Journalist | OSINT | Cult Survivor | Exposing Scams, Ponzi Schemes & MLMs | I Name & Shame the Bad Actors Behind the Lies