

Response to Oliver M Birman (Perlman, Bajandas, Yevoli & Albright, P.L ) Cease and Desist Letter – Goliath Ventures / Chris Delgado Crypto Ponzi Scheme, Florida.

Mr. Oliver M Birman,

I acknowledge receipt of the letter dated September 9, 2025 with regard to the crypto ponzi scheme Goliath Ventures Inc which is run by Chris Delgado.

Your letter makes some highly concerning revelations; perhaps you missed them in your haste to draft it. But you yourself state “our clients are not engaged in a Ponzi scheme, **have not misled its investors**”, your client Goliath Ventures is not licensed to raise funds from the public and therefore cannot legally have investors. So who are these investors you speak of? Have funds been raised from the public by your client? If so, where are the requisite risk disclosures and offer documents?

Your client Chris Delgado uses the line that he’s running a “private venture fund” as an absurd loophole to cover up for his obvious disregard for U.S. securities laws. Again, your client CANNOT raise funds from the public but you claim that your client does have investors but that they are not being defrauded.

Why is your firm defending an individual and a company that is openly engaged in securities fraud and elder abuse?

My reporting is based on publicly available information, whistleblower testimony, and evidence consistent with classic indicators of securities fraud and Ponzi-style operations. As you are well aware, the doctrines of truth and fair comment are complete defenses to defamation. My questions and commentary are grounded in matters of substantial public interest, namely investor protection and financial misconduct.

Are you at Perlman, Bajandas, Yevoli & Albright, P.L aware that Chris Delgado and Goliath Ventures Inc along with BlackBlock and WealthMD are being investigated by US law enforcement? Are you aware of the fate that befell Rothstein Rosenfeldt Adler also from Fort Lauderdale, the high flying law firm that was wrapped up in a large ponzi scheme?

It is troubling that, instead of addressing the substantive allegations of fraud, your letter attempts to intimidate a journalist with threats of litigation all the way to Florida courts. If your clients are confident that their business is legitimate, they should have no difficulty demonstrating transparency through audited financials, regulatory compliance, and clear evidence of lawful operations. Until then, I am entitled to ask — and the public is entitled to know — whether Goliath Ventures and Mr. Delgado is engaged in a large-scale crypto ponzi scheme that can hurt thousands of people.

You’ve written a great deal about Florida law and how I am breaching the rights of Goliath Ventures Inc in Florida, but I will remind you, your client upon being exposed for running an alleged ponzi scheme dissolved the Florida entity? Given this Goliath Ventures Inc, I believe, can’t legally operate in Florida. Yet another example of your clients flagrant disregard for the law.

Accordingly, before I can give any further consideration to your demands, I require clarity on the following:

1. What specific regulatory licenses or exemptions permit Goliath Ventures and Mr. Delgado to solicit and pool investor funds?
2. What independent, third-party audits substantiate that investor returns are funded by lawful, revenue-generating activity and not by new investor deposits?
3. Why is your firm taking instructions to defend a client facing such serious allegations instead of advising them to provide transparency to investors and regulators? At least advise them to follow the law and wind down operations?
4. Are you aware of Chris Delgado's involvement in an earlier crypto ponzi scam that blew up called My Liquidity Partner?
5. What jurisdiction does Florida court have given that Goliath Ventures Inc has been dissolved in Florida and moved to Wyoming? Also given the fact that I am based in New Zealand?

Until these questions are answered, it is premature to discuss retraction or compliance with your demands. I will continue to reserve all rights, including the right to publish further commentary based on additional evidence as it becomes available.

Sincerely,

Danny de Hek